OFFICIAL

PATENTACCEIVED CE CENTRAL FAX CENTER

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JAN 0 8 2004

Applicants:

Butcher, et al.

Serial No.:

09/904.045

Case No.: 20709

Art Unit: 1641

Filed:

July 12, 2001

For:

RADIOLIGAND AND BINDING ASSAY

Examiner: M. Ceperley

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Response to October 20, 2003 Final Rejection

Sir:

This is in response to the October 20, 2003 Final Rejection, a response to which is due January 20, 2004.

Remarks

In the prior April 18, 2003 office action, the Examiner rejected Claims 5-27 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Applicants responded to this rejection in the July 18, 2003 Response. Since the Examiner did not reject these claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, in the October 20, 2003 final rejection, this rejection appears to have been withdrawn.

The only remaining rejection is that of Claims 5-27 under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Baldwin, et al, in combination with each of Chadwick, et al., Fiset, et al., Geonzon, et al., or Duff, et al., and with Dean, et al. The Examiner indicated that Baldwin, et al. describes the non-radiolabeled, sulfonamide compound of formula I of Claim 1, that each of Chadwick, et al., Fiset, et al., Geonzon, et al., and Duff, et al. describe assays that assess the membrane K+ channel blocking activity of radiolabeled [3H] dofetilide, and that Dean, et al. describe use of 35S-containing sulfonamide groups for sulfonamide-containing ligands used in receptor binding radioassays. Applicants respectfully maintain the presently claimed method would not be obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on Baldwin, et al. in combination with each of Chadwick, et al., Fiset, et al., Geonzon, et al., or Duff, et al., and with Dean, et al.